

Express Mail No.: EV 309293021 US

Date of Deposit: 9/15/2003

**FORM PTO-1449 TO BE FILED WITH  
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**

U.S. Department of Commerce  
Patent and Trademark Office

Atty. Docket No. UNI1773-011 Serial No. ~~Not Yet Recd~~

10/662485

INFORMATION  
DISCLOSURE STATEMENT  
BY APPLICANTS

Ted Naimer et al.  
Applicant

09/15/2003  
Filing Date

2636  
Group Art Unit

JOHN TWEEL  
Examiner's name

**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

Examiner's Initial	Document Number	Date	Name	Class/Sub-class
JAT	3,668,622	06/06/1972	Gannett et al.	340/27 R
JAT	4,247,843	01/27/1981	Miller et al.	340/27 NA
JAT	4,750,127	06/07/1988	Leslie et al.	364/428
JAT	4,774,670	09/27/1988	Palmieri	364/446
JAT	4,914,733	04/03/1990	Gralnick	340/961
JAT	5,179,377	01/12/1993	Hancock	340/961
JAT	5,185,606	02/09/1993	Verbaarschot et al.	340/961
JAT	5,227,786	07/13/1993	Hancock	340/961
JAT	5,248,968	09/28/1993	Kelly et al.	340/961
JAT	5,250,947	10/05/1993	Worden et al.	340/973
JAT	5,382,954	01/17/1995	Kennedy, Jr. et al.	340/961
JAT	5,493,309	02/20/1996	Bjornholt	342/455
JAT	5,668,542	09/16/1997	Wright	340/971
JAT	5,739,770	04/14/1998	Liden	340/976
JAT	5,872,526	02/16/1999	Tognazzini	340/961
JAT	5,920,321	07/06/1999	Owen et al.	345/427
JAT	6,085,150	07/04/2000	Henry et al.	701/301
JAT	6,259,378	07/10/2001	Block	340/963
JAT	6,271,768	08/07/2001	Frazier, Jr. et al.	340/961
JAT	6,400,283	06/04/2002	Berlioz et al.	340/975
JAT	6,507,288	01/14/2003	Block	340/963

---


**FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

Examiner's Initial	Document Number	Date	Country/Name	Translation? yes/no
	NONE			

---

**OTHER DOCUMENTS**

1. None.

Examiner 	Date Considered 12/15/04
--	--------------------------

Examiner: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

The identification of any document herein is not intended to be, and should not be understood as being, an admission that each such document, in fact, constitutes "prior art" within the meaning of applicable law since, for example, a given document may have a later effective date than at first seems apparent or the document may have an effective date which can be antedated. The "prior art" status of any document is a matter to be resolved during prosecution.